

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,449	05/19/2005	Walter Fix	411000-132	6017
27162 CARELLA D	7590 09/06/2007	N CECCUI	EXAM	INER
STEWART &	YRNE, BAIN, GILFILLA OLSTEIN	N, CECCHI,	SUCH, MA	TTHEW W
5 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
noozzni,z,			2891	
				-1.1.1.1.1.1.1
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			ہنے
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,449	FIX ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew W. Such	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	==
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory por Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> </u>	·	
·—	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	. "	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	.
			•
Attachment(s)		•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-2, drawn to drawn to an organic electronic component with two functional layers made of the same material and differing in electrical properties.
- ii. Group II, claim(s) 3-18, drawn to a single-step conversion process for altering the electrical properties of organic materials.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature is an organic functional layer with different electrical properties. This cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. Brown (US Patent 5,629,530) shows an organic material comprised of at least two functional layers abutting each other which were produced from the same material (TTF/TCNQ) but having different

conductivity, such as a semi-metallic layer (Elements 21 or 24), a p-type layer (Element 22), and an n-type layer (Element 23). Brown further teaches that these functional layer with different conductivity can be made by a process different than a single process of converting a functional layer through partial reaction, since Brown discloses that the layers can be formed by a multiple step process of vapor deposition without any partial reaction (Col. 6, Lines 5-30).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/535,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 2891

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew W. Such whose telephone number is (571) 272-8895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM-5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bradley W. Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew W. Such

Examiner

Art Unit 2891

MWS 8/31/07

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800